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**Mission (im)possible:**

**Embracing parametric, structural and socio-political uncertainties in modeling energy transitions**

Evelina Trutnevyte, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

Ability to develop a comprehensive picture of the potential future developments in the energy sector is a must in order to inform today’s decisions on mitigating climate change, ensuring energy supply security, and still keeping energy affordable. Development of such a comprehensive picture has parallels with a jigsaw puzzle: different methods, from energy systems modeling to social scientific approaches, provide important pieces of information, but none of them deliver a complete picture. Energy system analysts should thus aim to bring the different jigsaw puzzle pieces together, regardless the very diverse forms of information and methods.

In the presentation I will reflect on how such a comprehensive picture of the potential energy futures could be developed. I will start by discussing what can energy analysts know about the future energy system with certainty and what needs to be accepted as uncertain. I will then present my visions of a toolbox of methods that deliver the individual jigsaw puzzle pieces. This toolbox includes multiple energy system models that deal with parametric and structural uncertainties as well as storyline and surprise-revealing techniques that capture socio-political uncertainties.

I will provide two concrete examples on how to link different methods to explore the UK electricity sector transition. The first example will focus on simultaneous analysis of parametric and structural uncertainties with the D-EXPANSE model (Dynamic version of the EXploitation of PAtterns in the Near-optimal energy ScEnarios). The second example will link analysis of socio-political uncertainties with the D-EXPANSE model, using story-and-simulation approach.

Eventually, I will close with a discussion whether the energy analysis community may have been too cautious in saying that energy future cannot be predicted. Instead, I will propose and at the same time critically reflect on the goal of defining the envelop of predictability (as phrased by S. Cornell, R. Constanza, S. Sorlin and S. van der Leeuw) or defining bounds and orders of magnitude (as phrased by E. A. Casman, M. G. Morgan, and H. Dowlatabadi).
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